

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,193 04/15/2004		04/15/2004	Peter Alexander Carruthers	BAE-15 52AY1399	9814
28581	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
DUANE M	ORRIS L	LP	TERESINSKI, JOHN		
PO BOX 5203 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5203				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2858	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s) CARRUTHERS, PETER ALEXANDER					
Office Action Summary	10/826,193						
omee Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Teresinski	2858					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2004. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because details and reference numerals are of poor quality, formal drawings are requested. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 10/826,193 Page 3

Art Unit: 2858

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,833,713 to Schoepf et al..

Regarding claim 1, Schoepf et al. disclose a direct electrical circuit method and device including coupling to a node, by a resistive coupling path having an equivalent resistance, a fixed proportion of the direct voltage of the direct voltage power supply, the node being connected by a measuring path (column lines Fig. 2, elements 22, 40, 46), measuring a first current flowing in the measuring path at a first time (column 4 lines 25-34), measuring a first voltage applied to the load at a first time (column 3 lines 23-35), measuring a second current flowing in the measuring path at a second time different from the first time (column 4 lines 25-34), measuring a second voltage applied to the load at a second time (column 4 lines 57-65), at a time between the first and second times coupling a second terminal of the direct voltage power supply to a second terminal of the load for energization thereof (column 6 lines 1-10), and determining from the equivalent resistance and the first and second voltage and currents a fault voltage (column lines).

Regarding claim 4, Schoepf et al. disclose measuring voltage across the load during first and second voltage measurements (column 5 lines 59-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoepf et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,947,469 to Vokey et al..

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Schoepf et al. disclose a formula relating resistances of the wires, voltage difference calculation and sensed current (column 3 lines 35-50). Schoepf et al. fails to teach the formula as claimed including an equivalent resistance. Vokey et al. disclose a resistive fault location method and device for direct voltage supplies including calculating fault resistance as claimed including equivalent of the formula claimed in claim 4 (column 3 lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the resistance calculation as taught by Vokey et al. into Schoepf et al. for the purpose of providing more accurate measurements.

Regarding claim 5, Schoepf et al. disclose fault voltage detected referenced to the negative terminal of the load (column 1 lines 61-67).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,193

Art Unit: 2858

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JT' September 29, 2005

> VINCENT Q. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

V. haryon 9/30/2005